UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,672	04/03/2006	Eiichi Takahashi	283048US2PCT	2969
22850 7590 06/18/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
ALEAANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,672	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	,
	MARK CONNOLLY	2115	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:		,	
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	a of \$ is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	•	σ	 ·
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requality (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on			
after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ui	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:			
	/Mark Connolly/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 2115	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	•		promptly filed to

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Notice of Abandonment